

Community impacts: Accessible electric vehicle carshare programs (2023 update)

Kelly Yearick, Connor Herman, Jennifer Zavan¹

¹ Forth, 2035 NW Front Ave, Portland, OR, USA 97209, kellyy@forthmobility.org

Executive Summary

Having abundant and affordable access to transportation affects an individual's ability to live a healthy and fulfilling life. To date, a majority of carshare models have been implemented in urban, affluent areas, and have not focused on electric vehicles (EVs). Recently, Forth has developed and tested a variety of EV carshare programs, with the goal of identifying and understanding best practices and challenges associated with implementing these programs in underserved locations, specifically in low-income and rural areas. In this paper, we will share the design and results to date of several of these programs, as well as a framework for designing a carshare program.

Keywords: BEV (battery electric vehicle), car-sharing, consumers, emerging, passenger car

1 Introduction

The ability to access affordable transportation directly impacts an individual's access to education, professional development opportunities, child care, and healthy food choices [1]. Urban settings often feature a variety of transportation options that can complement or serve as an alternative for personal car ownership. Examples include public transportation, shared mobility services, and micromobility options such as bikes and scooters. Transportation options are often more limited in rural communities due to lower population density and greater travel distances between destinations. To date, much of the adoption of and investment in electric vehicles (EVs) and carsharing has occurred in urban or more affluent areas, resulting in rural and low-income communities experiencing lower awareness of EVs and their benefits, and lower availability of EV charging infrastructure [2]. At Forth, we are committed to improving mobility options for all. We maintain that electrifying and broadening the transportation sector is a key strategy to improve mobility options in rural and low-income communities.

1.1 Carsharing Models

Carsharing is a model of car rental in which individuals have the benefit of using a car without the costs and responsibilities of car ownership [3]. There are a few key differences between carsharing and traditional car rental via companies like Hertz or Enterprise:

- Carshare tends to be short-term, lasting minutes to hours, whereas traditional car rental typically lasts one or more days.
- Carshare transactions are typically executed through a mobile app or web-based tool, whereas traditional car rental often requires a visit to a brick-and-mortar storefront.

- Carshare vehicles are typically decentralized, i.e. spread out across a metropolitan area, rather than concentrated at a single parking lot.
- Carshare rental often includes insurance, roadside assistance, and fuel, whereas traditional car rental may not include these items.

There are three primary models available: one-way, two-way, and peer-to-peer (P2P) [3]. The two-way model is best for longer and planned trips. An individual reserves a particular vehicle from a station, drives the vehicle wherever they wish, and then returns the vehicle to the station to end the rental [3]. Users typically pay by the hour, mile, or both [3]. In the U.S., two-way carshare companies include Zipcar, HOURCAR, Miocar, and Envoy. Two-way carshare companies in Europe include Cambio CarSharing, GoCar, and Greenwheels. Enterprise CarShare is present in both the U.S. and Europe. Two-way models are typically station-based, where a car is picked up and dropped off in designated stations (parking spots).

One-way cars provide flexibility in terms of pick-up and drop-off locations. An individual can rent a vehicle in one location and end it in a completely different place without the need to return the vehicle to the original pick-up location[3]. One-way models can be station-based or can be free-floating, where a car can be picked up or dropped off anywhere within a designated zone (such as a municipality). In the U.S., BlueLA, AAAGig, Free2Move, and the recently removed Car2Go are examples of free-floating carshare companies. Within Europe, Enjoy is Italy’s free-floating carshare, while Flinkster and Stadtmobil are all free-floating carshare services in Germany. ShareNow provides free-floating carsharing service in multiple countries.

Sometimes called the “Airbnb for Cars,” the third model of carshare is P2P, which allows car owners to share their vehicles with others for use for short periods of time [4]. Individuals often subsidize the cost of their own personal vehicle by renting it out through the P2P model [4]. Examples include Turo and Getaround, both U.S.-based companies. In Europe, Drivy (owned by Getaround) and Hiya provide P2P carshare services.

1.2 Carsharing Benefits

There are many individual, social, and community benefits of carsharing [1]. Economically, vehicle ownership is costly. In 2020, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics estimated that the average American household annually spent over \$9,000 on transportation expenses [5]. Meanwhile, privately owned vehicles spend 95 percent of their life in a parking spot, unused [6]. On average, a single carshare vehicle takes 15 privately-owned vehicles off the road, reduces congestion, and benefits the environment [4].

Socially, carsharing’s primary benefit is that it allows low-income individuals, students, and seniors to affordably and sustainably maintain their mobility and participate fully in society while reducing parking demand, the number of idling cars, air pollution, and greenhouse gas emissions [4]. This decreases parking demand and creates opportunities to reallocate land for parks, new housing, or other community needs. When carshare operators use EVs in their fleets, these benefits increase exponentially, and the advantages extend to both the user and the operator.

1.2.1 User Benefits

When an individual – or user/member – chooses carshare instead of vehicle ownership, they benefit financially. They avoid the costs and hassle of car insurance premiums, monthly payments, maintenance, vehicle registration fees, repairs, parking, traffic violation tickets, and car shopping, among others [4]. Choosing carshare over ownership could save users thousands of dollars per individual annually [7]. Additionally, former car owners shift their travel behavior significantly after joining a carshare, increasing their transit use, walking, and cycling, and reducing their total vehicle miles traveled by an average of 44 percent [4].

Many underserved and vulnerable communities lack access to safe, reliable, economical, and clean transportation and are more likely to face health burdens, such as asthma from poor air quality, and longer commutes because of their limited access to transportation options [8]. For individuals in these communities, carshare can lead to new economic opportunities and bolster existing options. Because most carshare services offer on-demand rentals and keyless entry, users experience the freedom and flexibility that car owners do.

1.2.2 Operator Benefits

For carshare companies – or operators – using EV fleets has significant financial and operational benefits. Gasoline-powered cars are more expensive than EVs. A 2018 study from the University of Michigan found the average annual cost to own and drive an EV in the U.S. is \$483. A gasoline-powered vehicle costs \$1,117 per year [9]. While the purchase price of EVs is typically higher than that of gasoline-powered vehicles, the total cost of ownership (TCO) should be considered. Generally, EVs are easier and cheaper to maintain than gasoline-powered vehicles because the EV has only one moving part: the motor. Operators can save significant costs by using EVs as they don't require regular oil changes or tune-ups. EV batteries also last nearly a decade [10].

Switching an operator's fleet to EVs is also of great benefit to the environment. A 2021 study conducted by the Yale School of the Environment found that total indirect emissions from EVs are much lower than indirect emissions from fossil-fuel powered vehicles, demonstrating that EVs are safer for the environment than gas-powered cars [33]. Carsharing programs that use EVs can reduce greenhouse gas emissions from daily travel by up to 43 percent per user compared to gasoline-powered travel methods [11].

2 Forth's Experience in Carsharing

Over the past several years, Forth has developed a series of projects to understand the needs and challenges of electric carsharing in underserved communities. Through these projects, we have learned lessons and developed best practices for building a carshare program. Below are summaries of the four projects that Forth has managed toward its mission to advance electric transportation through innovation, industry development, advocacy, and consumer engagement.

2.1 The Community Electric Vehicle Project

Forth's project development began in 2017 with the launch of The Community Electric Vehicle Project [12]. Forth partnered with Hacienda Community Development Corporation (CDC) in Portland, Oregon to provide community members access to shared electric vehicles. Hacienda CDC provides affordable housing, economic development and educational opportunities, and community support to a predominantly Latino and immigrant community in the Portland neighborhood of Cully [13].

One of the goals of this project was to introduce an additional, reasonably priced, and convenient mode of transportation in the neighborhood while also demonstrating the economic and environmental benefits of electric cars. Two EVs were made available for community members to rent through the digital P2P carsharing platform, Turo. Community members were able to access a low-cost, daily rental with car insurance and free onsite charging through the project site [12]. Forth intended this project to provide a model that would be both sustainable and replicable for future projects. Throughout the program, rental length for community members varied from daily rentals to multi-day rentals [12]. It lasted nine months and during that time, both Hacienda staff and the community used the EVs dozens of times, saving Hacienda CDC money and generating funds, which the organization could use at its discretion.

2.2 Clean Rural Shared Electric Mobility (CRuSE) Project

In 2019, Forth began working on the Clean Rural Shared Electric Mobility (CRuSE) Project. CRuSE is a three-year, U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)-funded program that seeks to demonstrate that roundtrip, plug-in EV carsharing can serve rural communities in a financially sustainable way while benefiting low-income residents, government, local businesses, and the town as a whole [14].

As of 2023, this project is still in progress. Currently, five EVs are stationed throughout Hood River, Oregon, a town of about 8,300 people, at affordable housing sites, the city center, and near public transit stations [15]. Envoy Technologies provides the carsharing platform, which includes a Spanish language version of its software app, alternate payment mechanisms for those without access to credit cards or bank accounts, and tiered pricing structures to make the cost more accessible for low-income members. Envoy manages the fleet operations and member services component of the service with its phone application, Envoy There. Members

can download the app, set up an individual account, and then make reservations to use the cars. As of March 2023, the CRuSE program has 58 members.

2.3 St. Louis Vehicle Electrification Rides for Seniors (SiLVERS) Project

In 2021, Forth established the St. Louis Vehicle Electrification Rides for Seniors (SiLVERS) project to increase electric vehicle adoption and reduce transportation-related operating expenses for social service agencies in low-income communities. SiLVERS aims to demonstrate the benefits of EV carsharing, including (1) that EV fleets can save social service agencies money on transportation expenses and improve their service delivery; (2) that EV charging equipment for fleets can also serve employees and community members; and (3) that the use of EVs by agencies can accelerate regional EV adoption and the use of tools and best practices, which can be replicated nationwide. For this project, Forth received funding from the U.S. DOE for Advanced Innovative Vehicle Technologies [16]. While the SiLVERS vehicles are currently using a non-technical solution for the sharing of the vehicles (e.g. no additional software, the keys must be physically given to the driver), adding carsharing software and increasing the use cases for these vehicles will be evaluated, and potentially implemented, in future scenarios.

SiLVERS was established as a partnership between Forth and several community-based organizations (CBOs). AmpUp provides an EV charger-sharing platform for the project while the City of St. Louis, the St. Louis Area Agency on Aging, and other CBOs have introduced EVs to the senior residents they serve and conducted outreach to increase community support and awareness of the program [16]. Five EVs and 10 charging ports went live in November 2021, and the CBOs began utilizing the technology soon afterwards. These charging stations are also available to the public when not being used by the program vehicles.

Data collected during the first 14 months of operations indicates that between November 2021 and December 2022, the two CBOs provided 1,604 rides and delivered 11,741 meals via the vehicles. Between November 1, 2021 and March 17, 2023, the vehicles recorded 1,166 charging sessions, refuelling 23,557 kWh of energy. It is estimated by AmpUp software that using EVs instead of gas-powered vehicles has saved 37,691 pounds of carbon dioxide emissions. In October of 2022 Forth surveyed staff and volunteers at the two CBOs participating in SiLVERS. Of the eleven staff members and volunteers who responded, all reported an increased knowledge level of EVs and EV charging compared to before the SiLVERS program began. Over 90% of respondents reported that they were more interested in purchasing an EV as their personal vehicle, compared to before the SiLVERS program began.

2.4 Affordable Mobility Platform (AMP)

The Affordable Mobility Platform (AMP) began as a way to connect carshare technology and EVs, as well as a way to bring EVs to low-income communities, which lack access to EV technology [18]. Over time, AMP has evolved and grown as Forth has learned from the process of the project.

2.4.1 The Evolution of AMP

The impetus of the AMP project began quite focused, but the scope and potential impact of the project have grown since its establishment. Geography and economic status are two key variables of bringing EVs and carshare technology to low-income communities. In rural areas of Oregon and Washington, the closest dealership carrying EVs is often well over 100 miles away from potential drivers. Even if there are dealerships carrying such inventory, studies have shown that dealerships are far from adequate in meeting the needs of consumers looking to purchase an EV [19]. One way to potentially combat this knowledge gap is through renting and test-driving. A recent study by Drive Electric Orlando showed that renting an EV can have a positive impact on a consumer's attitude toward and interest in the technology. Two-thirds of EV renters surveyed indicated that their experience with Drive Electric Orlando made them more likely to purchase an EV as their next vehicle [20].

With financial support from the American Public Power Association (APPA), Bonneville Environmental Foundation (BEF), and local utilities, Forth has begun placing EVs in smaller communities across the Pacific Northwest with the purpose of providing an opportunity for individuals to test-drive an EV on their own time.

One potential barrier to the success of traditional ride and drives is that the prime customers of these services are small, community-owned utilities (COUs). That means the overhead, coordination, and cost (between \$10,000 and \$25,000 for a single ride-and-drive event) may be a challenge. At Forth, selecting a platform to deliver these services to a consumer proved to be an intensive process. A half-dozen platforms were reviewed, including WuntRent, GoodMoovs, Good Travel Software (GTS), EVE, ShareNow, and Envoy. While there are pros and cons of each platform, ultimately GTS, a Dublin-based carsharing software provider, was selected. GTS pairs with INVERS, which creates in-vehicle telematics devices, to bring the technology needed for carsharing.

Understanding that the location of an EV carsharing program would be a key determinant of its utilization, Forth developed the GoForth CarShare pilot project with the intention to place EVs for carsharing at affordable housing developments. At affordable housing developments, carsharing EVs have the potential of a core-user base on site while also fulfilling the wider goal of increasing EV test drives and exposure to EVs among low-income community members.

2.4.2 GoForth Electric CarShare

The GoForth Electric CarShare project is an electric carsharing service designed to provide greater access to clean, local transportation, and increase exposure of people to driving EVs. This is one of several public-facing brands of the AMP project. GoForth Electric CarShare has two goals:

1. Provide an affordable, on-demand transportation option to low-income community members
2. Create a program that allows customers to test-drive EVs for free

GoForth all-electric vehicles are placed at affordable housing sites, local electric utility headquarters, or high-density parking lots. Interested users can reserve and drive vehicles by downloading the Miocar Networks app and completing the account creation process.

GoForth began operating vehicles in March 2022, and currently has a fleet of ten cars located in the Oregon communities of The Dalles, Philomath, Eugene, Bend, La Pine, Clatskanie, Veneta, and Ashland. Forth has partnered with Mobility Development to co-lead vehicle procurement, carsharing insurance, fleet management, and member support. Local participating COUs and host sites provide charging infrastructure. A single charging port and parking space are donated at no cost for the duration of the program. GoForth Electric CarShare will run at least through the end of 2024. In about 1 year of gathered data, across an 8-car fleet there have been about 190 member registrations and 60 active users who have driven a total of 16,760 miles (avg. 2,095/vehicle) and have been used for 4,335 hours (avg. 542 hours/vehicle).

2.4.3 AMP Goes National

Most recently, Forth was awarded \$5 million from the U.S. DOE to develop and operate a carsharing program in several regions as part of AMP [17]. Eighty vehicles and charging stations will be installed at affordable housing sites in eight U.S. cities. The overarching goal of the program is to develop a sustainable model for affordable housing developers to offer EV charging infrastructure and shared vehicles in underserved communities as an amenity for tenants, as part of their wraparound services. Forth is working with more than 40 partners to bring the project to fruition, including Mobility Development, charging companies, local nonprofits, housing sites, electric utilities, and local governments. The DOE AMP project officially kicked off in October of 2022. Current work is focused on solidifying partnerships performing site assessments, installing infrastructure, and procuring vehicles.

2.4.4 A Shared Platform for Nonprofit Carsharing

As stated, a versatile software platform is needed to keep the GoForth project successful and accessible to users. Miocar Networks, an app running on Good Travel Software technology, hosts GoForth CarShare and several other nonprofit carsharing networks across the U.S. Originally, Miocar Networks was exclusively hosting the Miocar carsharing network in California. But recently, Mobility Development signed a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with Forth and other organizations to turn the Miocar Networks app into an ecosystem for different nonprofit operators to launch and operate carsharing while reducing barriers.

3 Building an Electric Carsharing Program

Since its origin, Forth has always sought to use its learnings and experience establishing EV carshare programs to help other entities replicate these projects using best practices and recommendations. Below is an abridged guide to launching an electric carshare program, as well as the challenges and subsequent recommendations Forth has identified as a result of our work.

3.1 Condensed Guide to Launching an Electric Carshare Program

3.1.1 Choose the carsharing structure

The first decision to make is whether the structure is one-way, two-way, or P2P. If two-way is chosen, decide whether the program is station-based or free floating.

3.1.2 Set up a legal entity

The next choice to make is identifying the legal entity. Will it be for-profit, nonprofit, a mixed partnership, or a co-op model? The co-op model is exemplified by Canada's Modo [22].

3.1.3 Establish the business model and revenue plan

Operating strictly on earned revenue can be extremely difficult for a carshare program, especially one that hopes to serve low-income drivers. This is why large carshare companies only operate in dense, prosperous cities and neighborhoods. In trying to establish carsharing in more marginal locations, a mix of funding sources will likely be needed. Additionally, the funding plan for the initial launch, and a few years beyond, will likely differ from the long-term funding plan. A few examples of revenue types include:

- Earned revenue from sign-up, usage, and excess mileage fees
- Grants, such as those from private foundations, municipalities, states, or federal jurisdictions
- Sponsorship from electric utilities or other businesses

3.1.4 Create partnerships

These partnerships can be financial, in-kind, media, or on-the-ground support. Examples include municipalities, electric utilities, business leaders, housing organizations, and local community-based organizations (CBOs).

3.1.5 Select a technology platform

Providers can range from the bare bones of just providing a software backend to a turn-key solution that includes everything from software and hardware integration to vehicle procurement, insurance, operations and fleet management. This choice will dictate the rest of the steps in this guide. A platform will also establish how earned revenues are managed and distributed. Figure 1 is a list of questions, developed by Forth, to ask and consider when selecting a technology provider.

Primary Questions	Tertiary Questions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is round-trip, station-based carsharing supported? • Are aggregated sub-branches on a single platform? (e.g. Zipcar) Does it allow "area managers" to view and manage only their own data, vehicles, etc.? • Is remote locking/unlocking supported? • Is remote ignition disablement supported? • Does it allow for promo codes for free and/or discounted trips? • Does it allow for reservations 14+ days in advance? • Does it allow area managers to limit "available" vehicles to specific users? • Does it support geofencing of vehicles to minimize the likelihood of running out of battery power? • Are multiple languages, especially English and Spanish, supported? • Is there the ability to limit vehicle use to certain times of day (e.g. 5 a.m. until 12 a.m.)? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is it possible to send messaging that explains the vehicles need to be plugged back in to end rental? • Is it possible to see the vehicle's state-of-charge from the administrative dashboard? • Is the vehicle state-of-charge visible from the rental app? • Is it possible to add a time buffer between vehicle rentals to facilitate recharging? • Do admins have the ability to take a vehicle offline in advance? • Can users schedule a recurring rental? • Is it possible to show vehicles that are currently checked out or reserved by other users? • Is it possible to provide referral credits to users who refer new customers? • Can users receive ride credits or payment from a third-party service?
Secondary Questions	Tertiary Questions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does it support alternative unlocking and payment methods (e.g. prepaid and RFID cards)? • Is there dynamic pricing for different user groups? E.g., low-income qualified drivers can be charged lower rates than the general public. • Are there branch-level reporting features? • Are there roaming options (e.g. users of a subset of vehicles could be granted access to a larger network of vehicles)? • Is there an ability to limit access to administrative dashboards to managers of specific stations/branches? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does it support qualifying or sub-user agreements for different stations or vehicles? • Does it support a free-floating carshare model? • Can the local fleet manager and/or site host receive notifications when vehicle is reserved? • Can the platform provide offers or help find insurance for vehicles? • Is there multi-modal support (scooters, bikes, etc.)? • Does it have the ability to send pre- and post-surveys to members? • Is it operational in the U.S. market?

Figure 1: Forth's Criteria Questions for Choosing Technology Platform Provider

3.1.6 Identify the refueling plan

For this area of the program, there are several big questions to ask:

- Who will own, operate, and maintain the home-station charging stations?
- How will the electricity at the home station be priced and billed?
- How does a user initiate a charging session?
- Who pays for fueling away from the home charger, the operator or the user?

3.1.7 Select and procure vehicles

There are a number of questions entities should consider when building a fleet of vehicles for the carshare program:

- Do the vehicles need to be new or could they be used? Is leasing preferred over ownership?
- What kind of range does the vehicle need? Generally, we have found 150-250 miles is a good range. This answer also depends on geographic location and the driving needs of members.
- What size are the vehicles? In many cases, compact vehicles like a Nissan Leaf are a perfect option. In some circumstances, bigger vehicles will be needed for transporting more people, items, or making the vehicles wheelchair-accessible.

3.1.8 Secure carsharing insurance

Insurance can be difficult to secure. The smaller the fleet, the more difficult this becomes as insurers prefer to spread their risk across more units. Begin this work with an insurance broker early to get bids. Note that if you manage to get insured, there is a good chance rates will be high and budgeting may be difficult. Some carshare companies, like Envoy, provide insurance for carshare host sites. While providing insurance increases total monthly costs of a carshare program, this method can be more cost-effective for a small fleet.

In addition to securing insurance for carshare vehicles, it may be worthwhile to budget for an incidental accident support fund for low income carshare members. If a member gets in an accident in a carshare vehicle, the member is usually responsible for paying a deductible before the insurance provider will cover remaining costs. Paying the deductible in the event of an accident could be a heavy burden to a low income carshare member. Budgeting to financially assist low income members with paying deductible costs may offset some of the financial risk of participating in a carshare program.

3.1.9 Make an operations and staffing plan

A few common roles which will need to be staffed include:

- Budgeting and securing financial support
- Managing the technology integration for your vehicles
- Member services
- Fleet management

3.1.10 Decide if it's a public or private network

Using private networks essentially means only those who are invited to a network will be able to see and access vehicles on the platform. An open, or public, network means that anyone who downloads the app, passes the driver-screening process, and sets up an account will be able to see and use any vehicles available.

3.1.11 Locate host sites

Depending on the goals of the program, there are several criteria that can be weighed on what makes a good host site. Here are a few questions to answer when considering a host site:

- Is there charging infrastructure?
- Site population density: Is there an “anchor tenant,” such as an apartment complex?
- Are there complementary transportation services? Carsharing works best when it complements other established forms of transportation, such as biking or transit.
- Does the site have access restrictions, or will the site be available and safe at all hours?
- Do people living at or near the site have interest in using carshare?
- Proximity to other carshare vehicles: If vehicles are located close to each other, this creates redundancy if one vehicle is occupied or needs to be taken out of service and when vehicles are located near each other, this makes fleet maintenance and service work easier and cheaper.
- Is the host site willing to be a proactive partner for the program?

3.1.12 Create marketing and promotional activities

There are several means to grow your user base via marketing activities:

- Word-of-mouth: In our experience, word-of-mouth messaging is the best promotion device. The program should offer free driving credits and/or promo codes to members who recruit their friends and colleagues. An “ambassador” volunteer program can be implemented to train and incentivize members to introduce others to the EV carshare.
- On-vehicle branding and parking signage: Quality designs should quickly tell the user that the program is carshare, as well as how and why users should sign up.
- Utilizing an anchor tenant to reach nearby potential users
- In-person events
- How-to guides and video demonstrations
- Digital and print media

3.1.13 Measure success

Carshare programs will need to establish goals and metrics and a timeline to have a certain number of members or vehicles on the platform.

3.2 Challenges and Recommendations

While the successes of carshare programs are well-documented, building one is not without its hurdles. Forth itself has experienced many of the challenges outlined above and, as a result, has recommendations for other organizations looking to create their own programs.

3.2.1 Carsharing Insurance

Finding car insurance to cover the vehicles in the carshare fleet can be time-consuming and difficult. When Forth was building The Community Electric Vehicle Project with Hacienda CDC, we eventually utilized three different types of insurance. First, Hacienda CDC employees were covered by Forth's liability

insurance, which added about \$1,000 to the insurance costs for the project. Second, the primary insurance for when a renter was driving one of the cars was provided by Turo; the cost of that insurance is automatically included in the total rental cost. Lastly, Forth insured the vehicles themselves to account for the time when they were not covered by Turo. Forth recommends that organizations begin searching for car insurance options early as it will likely take longer and cost more than expected. With the most recent quotes, expect somewhere between \$2,500 and \$3,500 per annual vehicle premium.

3.2.2 Vehicle Procurement

Another challenge can be procuring the kind of vehicles that meet the needs of the drivers the carshare program serves and for the right price. Most EVs today are still classified as compact or crossover vehicles. For some individuals, these vehicles could pose space and accessibility challenges. The prices of new and used EVs are skyrocketing, with costs up 25 percent year-over-year [23].

3.2.3 User Pricing

Pricing the carshare service depends on many variables, including geography (i.e. cost of living for that area), the specific socioeconomic demographics being targeted, and the availability to subsidize program services through external resources outside of earned revenue. It is common for services to have a standard rate and a discounted rate. For example, there could be one standard pricing model, then a discounted rate for income-qualifying users. Organizations can conduct a survey to understand what local residents may be able to pay, and how this compares to other local transportation options. Setting the price too low could lead to individuals essentially reserving a vehicle indefinitely, limiting its use to other members. Table 1 shows the pricing models of several existing carsharing services.

Carshare Service	Locations and Pricing
Free2Move	U.S., Europe; Pricing varies by location. In Washington, D.C., \$.47/minute, \$13/hour, \$95/day [24].
Getaround	U.S.; Costs fall between \$5-8/hour for trips under 200 miles. There is a booking fee of 3% per trip. For every mile over 200, cost is \$0.50 [25].
GIG Car Share	U.S.; Pricing varies by location. In Seattle, \$1 access fee per trip, then \$0.59 per minute or \$19.99 per hour (up to \$79.99). \$79.99 for eight hours or \$119.99 per day [26].
GoForth CarShare	Oregon, U.S.; For first 150 miles of reservation, \$4 per hour, additional \$0.35 per mile after 150 miles [26].
Hourcar	Minnesota; U.S.; Monthly plans that vary from \$0-\$30 that charge \$6-\$10/hour or \$45-\$75/day for rentals with excess mileage fees of \$0.36-\$0.60/mile [27].
ShareNow	Europe; Pricing is dependent on the size of vehicle used. Per minute, the cost is 0,09€-0,19€; the cost per day is 49,99€-79,99€ [29].
Turo	U.S., Canada, UK; Begins at \$25/day in the U.S. [30].
Zipcar	U.S.; Memberships start at \$9/month and \$90/year. Per hour, prices are \$10-\$18 and prices per day range between \$83-\$133, depending on vehicle. Traveling over 200 miles, costs are \$0.58/mile [31].

Table 1: Pricing Models of Existing Carsharing Services

3.2.4 Technology Barriers

EVs and EV chargers are new technologies to many program participants [32]. Carshare members may also experience barriers associated with mobile apps used for carshare. An app may not be available in the language that a member speaks or reads. Some members may lack the technological literacy to effectively

use a carshare app. Some may be unable to access a carshare app because they do not have a smartphone. Strategies to address technology barriers include:

- Selecting a carshare app or platform that is user friendly and meets the specific needs of the carshare program's target audience.
- Hosting hands-on learning sessions and allocating time for member questions to help carshare members understand the EVs, mobile apps, and any other technologies used in the carshare program.
- Providing RFID cards to members as an alternative to mobile apps.

3.2.5 Payments and Privacy

Some potential carshare users may lack access to traditional banking and so may not be able to use a debit or credit card as a payment method. Additionally, some individuals may be uncomfortable sharing personal information via an app. Forth recommends that, when possible, alternative payment methods like pre-paid debit cards should be used. If possible, provide alternative payment methods such as cash.

3.2.6 Fleet Management

Even though EVs require much less maintenance and service than gasoline-powered vehicles, they will still need periodic management. The closer together the vehicles are located, the less a single person or company needs to travel to manage the vehicles. If a vehicle gets taken out of service and there are other vehicles nearby, this doesn't completely eliminate the ability for members to use the program in that area.

3.2.7 Host Sites

Getting to an executed contract with a host site can be cumbersome. Liability and the amount of work (i.e. host site staff time and responsibilities) is often a prime topic of discussion. Most importantly, they must be willing to allow charging infrastructure and the reservation of parking spots for exclusive use by a carshare vehicle. Additionally, there are many variables that must be considered for what makes a good host site non-exhaustive list includes: housing proximity and density; complimentary transportation options (such as public transit, active transportation); private car ownership; income and affordability; types of trips that are taken.

3.2.8 Timelines

Ensuring that the organization has plenty of time to problem-solve for both planned challenges and unforeseen roadblocks (such as lead times on an electrical panel) will be key to the program's success. This is especially true when it comes to contracting and installing charging stations.

3.2.9 Utilization

One of the most important goals to have when launching and managing a carshare program is to increase utilization. There are a number of ways to do this, including some of the recommendations listed above, including holding in-person events to familiarize users with EVs, focusing on marketing campaigns that reach target audiences, and partnering with organizations that can promote the program within the communities it serves. Additionally, partnering with organizations who can use the cars for business purposes can increase utilization of the program. In a perfect world, there could be a formula to figure out the ideal number of cars for a given population density, or the number of users it takes to make a car financially viability. However, we've found great variability; in some instances as little as three users may be enough to fully utilize a vehicle, in other cases it's more than 10. This is where a host site selection and implementing a transportation assessment can help inform this decision.

Acknowledgments

Forth and the authors would like to acknowledge the support of Mike Pletsch, Richard Kosmacher, and Creighton Randall with Mobility Development and Gloria Huerta of Miocar.

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) under the Award Number DE-EE0008882 and DE-DE-EE0009218. This

report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

References

- [1] A.P. Cohen et. Al., *Carsharing: A guide for local planners*, Institute of Transportation Studies, UC Davis, January 2008, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/46439823_Carsharing_A_Guide_for_Local_Planners, accessed on 2022-04-11
- [2] *Community benefits of rural vehicle electrification*, U.S. Department of Transportation, <https://www.transportation.gov/rural/ev/toolkit/ev-benefits-and-challenges/community-benefits>, accessed on 2022-04-11
- [3] *Car sharing market size by model (P2P, station-based, free-floating), by business model (round trip, one way), by application (business, private), industry analysis report, regional outlook, application potential, price trend, competitive market share & forecast, 2020 - 2026*, Global Market Insights, <https://www.gminsights.com/industry-analysis/carsharing-market>, accessed on 2022-04-17
- [4] *Low-income car sharing report*, Forth, March 2020, https://forthmobility.org/storage/app/media/Documents/Low_Income_CarsharingReport.pdf, accessed on 2022-04-11
- [5] *Consumer expenditures 2020*, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/cesan.nr0.htm>, accessed on 2022-04-17
- [6] *Unparking: A project by MIT Senseable City Lab*, <https://senseable.mit.edu/unparking/>, accessed on 2022-04-11
- [7] *Sharing vs. owning*, Colorado Carshare, <https://carshare.org/carsharing-easy/sharing-vs-owning/>, accessed on 2022-04-21
- [8] H. Creger et. Al., *Mobility equity framework: How to make transportation work for people*, The Greenlining Institute, March 21, 2018, <https://greenlining.org/publications/2018/mobility-equity-framework/>, accessed on 2022-04-17
- [9] *Costs and benefits of electric cars vs. conventional vehicles*, Energy Sage, August 25, 2021, <https://www.energysage.com/electric-vehicles/costs-and-benefits-evs/evs-vs-fossil-fuel-vehicles/>, accessed on 2022-04-10
- [10] *How do gasoline & electric vehicles compare?*, Idaho National Laboratory, <https://avt.inl.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/fsev/compare.pdf>, accessed on 2022-04-21
- [11] M. Nicholas, M.R. Bernard, *Success factors for electric carsharing*, International Council on Clean Transportation, August 2021, https://theicct.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/na-us-eu-ldv-electric-carsharing-factors-aug21_0.pdf, accessed on 2022-04-11
- [12] A. Diaz, C. Teebay, *The future of car sharing: Electric, affordable, and community-centered*, Forth, June 2018, https://forthmobility.org/storage/app/media/Documents/2018.07_cev_casestudy_FINAL.pdf, accessed on 2022-04-11
- [13] *About us*, Hacienda Community Development Corp., <https://haciendacdc.org/about-us/>, accessed on 2022-04-24
- [14] *CRuSE*, Forth, <https://forthmobility.org/our-work/CRuSE>, accessed on 2022-04-24
- [15] *Making Hood River home*, Visit Hood River, <https://visithoodriver.com/living-here/>, accessed on 2022-04-24

- [16] *Forth to receive DOE funding for vehicle electrification rides for seniors (SiLVERS) program*, Forth, July 23, 2020, <https://forthmobility.org/news/forth-to-receive-doe-funding-for-vehicle-electrification-rides-for-seniors-silvers-program>, accessed on 2022-04-23
- [17] K. Friedman, *Forth receives \$9 Million for national electric mobility programs*, Forth, November 2, 2021, <https://forthmobility.org/storage/app/media/Press%20Releases/DOE%20Funding%20Press%20Release.pdf>, accessed on 2022-04-24
- [18] *AchiEve: Model state & local policies to accelerate electric vehicle adoption*, The Sierra Club, June 2018, <https://www.sierraclub.org/sites/www.sierraclub.org/files/blog/EV%20Policy%20Toolkit.pdf>, accessed on 2022-04-28
- [19] *Rev Up report*, The Sierra Club, November 2019, https://www.sierraclub.org/sites/www.sierraclub.org/files/program/documents/2153%20Rev%20Up%20Report%202019_3_web.pdf, accessed on 2022-04-28
- [20] *Drive Electric Orlando Final Report*, Drive Electric Orlando, April 2022, <https://www.electrificationcoalition.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/DEO.pdf>, accessed on 2022-04-29
- [21] *Zero-emissions Access Program grant*, Washington State Department of Transportation, <https://wsdot.wa.gov/business-wsdot/grants/zero-emission-vehicle-grants/zero-emissions-access-program-grant>, accessed on 2022-04-29
- [22] *Modo*, <https://www.modo.coop/>, accessed on 2022-04-29
- [23] *Used electric car prices & market report - Q2 2022*, Recurrent, April 6, 2022, <https://www.recurrentauto.com/research/used-electric-vehicle-buying-report>, accessed on 2022-05-01
- [24] Sadon, R. *New D.C. carsharing company Free2Move is embracing its competition*, DCist, Oct. 29, 2018, <https://dcist.com/story/18/10/29/new-d-c-carsharing-company-free2move-embracing-competition/>, accessed on 2022-05-06
- [25] *Renting a car with Getaround: Is it better vs. Turo or Uber?*, Ridesharing Driver, October 24, 2019, <https://www.ridesharingdriver.com/getaround-cost-compare-car-rental/#facts>, accessed on 2022-05-06
- [26] *GoForth CarShare*, Forth, 2022, <https://forthmobility.org/goforth>, accessed on 2022-05-06
- [27] *HOURLCAR*, 2022, <https://hourcar.org/individual/>, accessed on 2022-05-06
- [28] *The San Joaquin Valley's carshare*, Miocar, 2022, <https://miocar.org/>, accessed on 2022-05-06
- [29] *ShareNow pricing*, ShareNow, 2022, <https://www.share-now.com/de/en/pricing/>, accessed on 2022-05-06
- [30] *How Turo works*, Turo, 2022, <https://turo.com/us/en/car-rental/united-states>, accessed on 2022-05-06
- [31] *Zipcar membership plans*, Zipcar, 2022, <https://www.zipcar.com/pricing>, accessed on 2022-05-06
- [32] Schott, Ben. "Range Anxiety," *The New York Times*, January 15, 2009, <https://schott.blogs.nytimes.com/2009/01/15/range-anxiety/>, accessed on 2022-05-10
- [33] P. Wolfram et. Al., *Pricing indirect emissions accelerates low-carbon transition of US light vehicle sector*, Nature Communications, ISSN 2041-1723, 7121(2021), <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27247-y>

Presenter Biography



Kelly leads Forth's Access to Cars team that implements programs to reduce barriers to accessing electric vehicles, with a focus on populations and communities that face the most barriers. Her team manages community-based needs assessments; conducts EV outreach and ride and drives, multiple electric car sharing projects nationwide, and work to help gig drivers electrify. Kelly holds a Master's in Environmental Management and Sustainability from Portland State University, a B.S. from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. She is on the board of Solar Oregon.